PCSK9 inhibitor treatment in clinical practice – three case vignettes The record form

	orename:		GP name and prac	ctice address	- 1-2
Patient postcode: Date of birth	Male/ Fer	nale			
Id/mm/yyyy:	MRN		Treatment Start Da dd/mm/yyyy	ate://	
ndication for PCSK9 Inhibito	r therapy	In the			
A. Primary non-familial hyper		mia or	mixed dyslipidaem	ia WITH CVD	
High CVD risk* with LDL-C >4.0 Has the patient achieve Is non-HDL-C ≤4.0 mm High CVD risk defined as hist Very high CVD defined as rec	d a 40% reductool/L on maximutory of ACS, arteurrent CVD even	tion of im tole erial re ents or	rated lipid lowering the vascularisation, isch CVD events in more	seline? nerapy? aemic stroke	Y/N Y/N or PAD scular bed
3. Primary Heterozygous fam	ilial hypercho	lestero	olaemia		
Without CVD and LDL-C >5.0 r ☐ Definite FH (genetically cor			Vith CVD and LDL-C		Ossible EH
C. Current Lipid lowering dru		THE RESERVE	e/Frequency	Tick if on no	
Current Lipid lowering ard	guierapy	DUS	erFiequency	Continued	Y/N
			To a very design of	Continued	Y/N
				Continued	Y/N
. Enter fasting lipid profile rotal cholesterol (mmol/L)	esults used fo		DL-cholesterol (mm		<i>J</i>
riglycerides (fasting) (mmol/L)			on-HDL-cholesterol		
poprotein(a) (mg/L nmol/L	. 🗆)	L	DL-C (mmol/L)	Betaquant	
Eligibility Checklist A or				ble 🗆 Not e	eligible 🗆
Has patient received many than the patient been considered. Have secondary causes of the patient has diabeted. Is further titration of lipid yes to V, provide details:	encordant with one of hyperlipide es mellitus has discount the mellitus has discount to t	drug th mia be glycae apy lim	erapy and lifestyle men excluded (if not remined and control been op	easures? ecently)?	Y/N Y/N Y/N NA/Y/N Y/N
Details of prescribed PCSK9					
Alirocumab 75 mg Alirocum			locumab 140 mg		Q4W 🗆
					.1/
	ntion /7 days b	efore r	equired for 1 st dose)		.//
	ption (7 days b		ninistered in clinic	Date:	JJ
ate of PCSK9 Inhibitor prescri		self-adr	III iistered iii ciiriic		
ate of PCSK9 Inhibitor prescriate of first dose administration	Tick if s		(usually pre-5 th dose		JJ
CSK9 inhibitor education and pate of PCSK9 Inhibitor prescription pate of first dose administration pate of on-treatment lipid profile inter non-fasting lipid profile	Tick if s	ponse	(usually pre-5 th dose	e:JJ	
ate of PCSK9 Inhibitor prescripte of first dose administration ate of on-treatment lipid profiles	Tick if se to assess res	to ass	(usually pre-5 th dose	e: <i>JJ</i> /L)	

Case 1 - WP

WP is a 60 year old retail manager with a history of coronary artery bypass and clinically diagnosed FH at 38 years, later genetically confirmed as heterozygous FH. Despite excellent concordance with diet and lifestyle measures and careful adherence to maximal combination lipid lowering therapy (rosuvastatin 40mg, ezetimibe 10mg and colsevelam 1250mg daily) she remained short of the ideal target range and well above the eligibility threshold for PCSK9 inhibitor therapy for very high risk secondary prevention (LDL-C greater than 3.5 mmol/l).

Indication for PCSK9 Inhibitor thera	ру			
A. Primary non-familial hypercholes	terolaemia	or mixed dyslipidaemi	a WITH CVD	
High CVD risk* with LDL-C >4.0 mmol	/L 🗆	Very high CVD [†] risk LI	DL-C >3.5mm	ol/L
 Has the patient achieved a 40° Is non-HDL-C ≤4.0 mmol/L on 				Y/N Y/N
* High CVD risk defined as history of A † Very high CVD defined as recurrent	CVD events	I revascularisation, ischa or CVD events in more	emic stroke o	r PAD ular bed
B. Primary Heterozygous familial hy				
Without CVD and LDL-C >5.0 mmol/L ☑ Definite FH (genetically confirmed	Definit	With CVD and LDL-C	0.0111110112	ssible FH
C. Eligibility Checklist				V
Has patient received maximum Has the patient been concords Have secondary causes of hys If the patient has diabetes me V. Is further titration of lipid lower If Yes to V, provide details:	ent with drug perlipidemia llitus has gl	therapy and lifestyle me been excluded (if not re ycaemia control been op	easures? cently)?	34222
D. Current Lipid lowering drug then	apy I	Dose/Frequency	Tick if on no	herapy [
ROSUVASTAT.N	4	own o.D.	Continued (Y)N
EZETIMIRE	i	0 mg 0.D	Continued (A)N
COUESEVELAM	62	5mg x 2 b.d	Continued	Y (N)
Enter fasting lipid profile results us	The second second second	THE ACTION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T		
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	7.0	HDL-cholesterol (mmo		1.4
Triglycerides (fasting) (mmol/L) Lipoprotein(a) (mg/L □ nmol/L ☑)	1.6	Non-HDL-cholesterol (LDL-C (mmol/L) B	mmol/L) etaquant	5.6
Epoprotein(a) (mg/c 🖂 mno/c 🖾)	1 - 0	LDE-C (IIIIIOI/L) B	etaquant 🗆	4.4

This new PCSK9 inhibitor treatment option was explained at her annual lipid clinic follow-up appointment but initially she was apprehensive about having to give herself injections. However, when the self- injection procedure was demonstrated using a training device she was reassured and wished to proceed with treatment. Alirocumab was then ordered and she was invited to return a week later for her first dose, which she self-injected successfully under supervision.

Alirocumab 75 mg ☐ Alirocumab 150 mg ☑ Evolocumab 140 mg ☐	Q2W Q4W []
PCSK9 inhibitor education and injection training	Date:
Date of PCSK9 Inhibitor prescription (7 days before required for 1st dose)	Date:
Date of first dose administration Tick if self-administered in clinic	Date:
Date of on-treatment lipid profile to assess response (usually pre-5 th dose)	Date:

A repeat non-fasting lipid profile was arranged just before her 5th injection was due, 8 weeks later, to assess her treatment response. The results showed that her non-HDL-cholesterol had fallen by 73% and was now well inside the ideal target range (non-HDL-C less than 2.5 mmol/L).

Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.0	HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.5
Triglycerides (fasting) (mmol/L)	1.3	Non-HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.5
Non-HDL-C reduction of >20% ach	ieved?(Ŷ)/N	Treatment continued?	(Y)/ N

She was therefore happy to continue and so was re-prescribed a further 3 month supply of Alirocumab. This would be re-prescribed six-monthly thereafter once the repeat blood test confirmed that the initial response was maintained.

Case 2 - CH

(

CH is a 39 year old biology teacher with a paternal family history of hypercholesterolaemia and premature heart disease. She was given a clinically diagnosis of FH at 18 years, later confirmed on genetic tests as heterozygous FH in both her and her sister. A keen runner, she suffered from muscle fatigue and pain after exercise when treated with the high intensity statins required to bring her cholesterol under control, a problem she shared with her sister. She was also unable to tolerate ezetimibe or colesevelam, both of which caused gastrointestinal upsets. When she was told to avoid all statins during long term treatment for a fungal infection, she was alarmed to see her cholesterol increase to the highest ever levels, so she contacted the lipid clinic.

Indication for PCSK9 Inhibitor ther	ару			
A. Primary non-familial hyperchole	sterolaen	mia or mixed dyslipida	emia WITH CVD	
High CVD risk* with LDL-C >4.0 mmd	ol/L 🗌	Very high CVD [†] ris	sk LDL-C >3.5mn	nol/L
I. Has the patient achieved a 40II. Is non-HDL-C ≤4.0 mmol/L or				Y/N Y/N
 High CVD risk defined as history of Very high CVD defined as recurrent 	ACS, arte	erial revascularisation, is ents or CVD events in m	schaemic stroke of the core than one vas	or PAD cular bed
B. Primary Heterozygous familial h				
Without CVD and LDL-C >5.0 mmol/l		With CVD and LD	L-C >3.5mmol/L	
Definite FH (genetically confirmed	d) 🗆 Def	finite FH (NMD) 🗆 Pro	bable FH 🗆 Po	ossible FH
C. Eligibility Checklist				
II. Has patient received maximu III. Has the patient been concord IIII. Have secondary causes of hy IV. If the patient has diabetes m V. Is further titration of lipid lowe If Yes to V, provide details:	lant with or perlipider ellitus has tring there	drug therapy and lifestyl mia been excluded (if no s glycaemia control bee	e measures? ot recently)? n optimised? e?	\$ 64686 \$ 8488
D. Current Lipid lowering drug the	CHRISTIAN CONTRACTOR WITH BUILDING	Dose/Frequency	Tick if on no	A MARKET A CORP. CASE VALUE OF THE PARTY.
			Continued	Y/N
			Continued	Y/N
			Continued	Y/N
Enter fasting lipid profile results u	sed for el	ligibility assessment [Date:.	
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	112.3	2 HDL-cholesterol (r	mmol/L)	2.1
Triglycerides (fasting) (mmol/L)	1.6	Non-HDL-choleste		10.1
Lipoprotein(a) (mg/L ☐ nmol/L ☐)	8	LDL-C (mmol/L)	Betaquant	19:4

At her clinic appointment the new treatment option of injectable PCSK9 inhibitor was therefore offered and after explanation and demonstration of the self-injection device she wished to commence treatment.

Alirocumab 75 mg ☐ Alirocumab 150 mg ☐ Evolocumab 140 mg ☐	Q2W Q4W [
Allocalidad for mig Co. Allicoalidad 100 mg Co. Evolocalidad 140 mg Co.	QZVIIZ Q4VV L
PCSK9 inhibitor education and injection training	Date
Date of PCSK9 Inhibitor prescription (7 days before required for 1st dose)	Date'
Date of first dose administration Tick if self-administered in clinic	Date
Date of on-treatment lipid profile to assess response (usually pre-5 th dose)	Date

She found the self-injection procedure easy to manage and reported no recurrence of muscle symptoms after exercise. A non-fasting blood test just before her 5th injection, after 8 weeks of treatment, showed a 33% reduction of her non-HDL-C.

Enter non-fasting lipid profile results used to assess response Date:			
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	8.8	HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.1
Triglycerides (fasting) (mmol/L)	0.9	Non-HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	6.7
Non-HDL-C reduction of >20% ach	ieved (Y) N	Treatment continued?	Ø/N

Although this was sufficient to warrant continuation the results remained well short of the best results seen on high dose statins before discontinuation. A repeat test after a further six weeks treatment showed no further improvement and treatment options were reviewed. As only higher statin doses had caused problems in the past she agreed to add a small dose of rosuvastatin 10mg daily, and arranged to have a repeat blood test after 6 weeks of combination therapy. She remained free of post-exercise symptoms and the non-fasting blood test results showed that her non-HDL-C had fallen by 70% to 2.0 mmol/L, an overall reduction of 80% from her untreated profile and now in the ideal range (non-HDL-C less than 2.5 mmol/L).

Case 3 - JD

JD is a 69 year old retired engineer who had been admitted to hospital a year before with an acute coronary syndrome and required emergency PCI and stents for 2 vessel coronary artery disease. While in hospital he was commenced on high intensity statin treatment (atorvastatin 80 mg daily) but a few weeks later he complained of progressive worsening of his chronic low back pain and calf muscle aches. On the advice of his GP he stopped his atorvastatin and his symptoms quickly improved, but subsequently recurred when he was changed to rosuvastatin, even when later tried on a non-daily dose of 5 mg after referral to the lipid clinic. He was then offered ezetimibe which he was able to take without difficulty but despite this his lipids remained well above the ideal target range and also above the threshold for eligibility for PCSK9 inhibitor treatment as a high risk patient (fasting LDL-C greater than 4 mmol/L).

Indication for PCSK9 Inhibitor therap	oy			
A. Primary non-familial hypercholest	terolaemia	or mixed dyslipidae	mia WITH CVD	
High CVD risk* with LDL-C >4.0 mmol/	LV	Very high CVD [†] risk	LDL-C >3.5mm	iol/L
I. Has the patient achieved a 40%II. Is non-HDL-C ≤4.0 mmol/L on the second of the				YW
 High CVD risk defined as history of A Very high CVD defined as recurrent 0 				
B. Primary Heterozygous familial hy	percholest	erolaemia		
Without CVD and LDL-C >5.0 mmol/L		With CVD and LDL	-C >3.5mmol/L	
☐ Definite FH (genetically confirmed)	☐ Definit	e FH (NMD) 🗆 Prob	able FH 🗆 Po	ossible FH
C. Eligibility Checklist				
I. Has patient received maximum II. Has the patient been concorda III. Have secondary causes of hyp IV. If the patient has diabetes mel V. Is further titration of lipid lowering the secondary causes of hypotheses are secondary causes of hypotheses of hyp	int with drug perlipidemia llitus has gl ing therapy	g therapy and lifestyle been excluded (if no ycaemia control been limited by intolerance	measures? t recently)? optimised? ?	⊗\ n \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
D. Current Lipid lowering drug thera	apy I	Dose/Frequency	Tick if on no	therapy
EZETIMIBE		omg OD	Continued	Ƴ N
			Continued	Y/N
			Continued	Y/N
Enter fasting lipid profile results us	ed for elig	ibility assessment [Date:	
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	6.2	HDL-cholesterol (m		1.0
Triglycerides (fasting) (mmol/L)	2.2	Non-HDL-cholester		5.2
Lipoprotein(a) (mg/L ☐ nmol/L ☐)		LDL-C (mmol/L)	Betaquant	14.2

This new treatment option was explained and after demonstration of the self-injection device he wished to proceed with fortnightly evolocumab injections as recommended.

Details of PCSK9 inhibitor treatment	
Alirocumab 75 mg Alirocumab 150 mg Evolocumab 140 mg	Q2W \(\overline{Q} \) Q4W \(\overline{Q} \)
PCSK9 inhibitor education and injection training	Date
Date of PCSK9 Inhibitor prescription (7 days before required for 1st dose)	Date'
Date of first dose administration Tick if self-administered in clinic 🗹	Date
Date of on-treatment lipid profile to assess response (usually pre-5 th dose)	Date

After 8 weeks on the combination of ezetimibe and evolcumab, he arranged a repeat non- fasting blood lipid profile, just before his 5th injection was due. The results showed a 58% reduction of his non-HDL-cholesterol which brought it to within the ideal target range (non- HDL-C less than 2.5 mmol/L).

Total cholesterol (mmol/L) 3 · 2	HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1 1 0
Triglycerides (fasting) (mmol/L) 3 · 2	Non-HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.2

He expressed surprise that the results had improved as he has assumed that if his cholesterol was lowered again his back and muscle pains would return, but happily it had not.