



**Royal College
of Physicians**
Setting higher medical standards

11 St. Andrews Place
Regent's Park, London NW1 4LE

Telephone +44(0) 20 7935 1174
Textphone +44(0) 20 7486 5687
Facsimile +44(0) 20 7487 5218

www.rcplondon.ac.uk

Christopher Feinmann
Technology Appraisals Project Manager

28th March 2008

Dear Mr Feinmann

**Re: Pregnancy - routine anti-D prophylaxis for rhesus negative women (review of TA41) -
Appraisal Consultation Document**

I write on behalf of the Royal College of Pathologists and Royal College of Physicians in response to this ACD. Our joint response to the questions raised is detailed below:

The Appraisal Committee is interested in receiving your comments on the ACD under the following general headings:

- i) Do you consider that all of the relevant evidence has been taken into account?
Yes
- ii) Do you consider that the summaries of clinical and cost effectiveness are reasonable interpretations of the evidence and that the preliminary views on the resource impact and implications for the NHS are appropriate?
Yes
- iii) Do you consider that the provisional recommendations of the Appraisal Committee are sound and constitute a suitable basis for the preparation of guidance to the NHS?
Yes, but in addition we would suggest that Audit form part of the formal recommendations in order to provide better information on effectiveness for the purposes of future reviews
- iv) Are there any equality related issues that may need special consideration?
No

I hope these comments will be of use.

Yours sincerely,

