

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Rh-D negative women - routine antenatal anti-D prophylaxis (review of TA No. 41)

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Baxter BioScience (Partobulin SDF, WinRho SDF) • Bio Products Laboratory (D-Gam) • Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service (Liberim) • ZLB Behring (Rhophylac) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association for Improvements in the Maternity Services • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • The Haemophilia Society • The Miscarriage Association • The National Childbirth Trust • Tommy's, the Baby Charity • WellBeing of Women • Women's Health Concern <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Radical Midwives • British Association of Perinatal Medicine • British Blood Transfusion Society • British Society for Haematology (BSH) • Medical Women's Federation • National Blood Service • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Midwives • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists • Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) • National Public Health Service for Wales • NHS Confederation • NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency • NHS Quality Improvement Scotland • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Comparator manufacturers</u></p> <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dugald Baird Centre for Research on Women's Health • Women's Health Research Unit, University of Surrey • Women's Informed Childbearing and Health Research Group, University of Sheffield • BMC Women's Health <p><u>Assessment team</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School of Health and Related Research, Sheffield • National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment <p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Collaborating Centre for Women and Children's Health

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine - Forum on Intellectual Disabilities • The Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) • United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bexley Care Trust PCT • Department of Health • Nottingham City PCT • Welsh Assembly Government 	

Definitions:Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Programme [HTA Programme] to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).